COLORADO

HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

2024 ANNUAL REPORT

Disclaimer: This report is the property of the State Department of Transportation (State DOT). The State DOT completes the report by entering applicable information into the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) online reporting tool. Once the State DOT completes the report pertaining to its State, it coordinates with its respective FHWA Division Office to ensure the report meets all legislative and regulatory requirements. FHWA's Headquarters Office of Safety then downloads the State's finalized report and posts it to the website (https://highways.dot.gov/safety/hsip/reporting) as required by law (23 U.S.C. 148(h)(3)(A)).

Photo source: Federal Highway Administration

Table of Contents

Disclaimer

Protection of Data from Discovery Admission into Evidence

23 U.S.C. 148(h)(4) states “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for any purpose relating to this section[HSIP], shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location identified or addressed in the reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or other data.”

23 U.S.C. 407 states “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 148 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.”

Executive Summary

The Colorado Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) details the state's vision of zero deaths and serious injuries so all people using any transportation mode arrive at their destination safely.

The number of fatalities in Colorado decreased in calendar year (CY) 2023 with 720 fatalities as compared to 764 in CY 2022 (6 percent decrease). Fatality rates decreased to 1.314 fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (100 MVMT) in CY 2023 as compared to 1.417 fatalities per 100 MVMT in CY 2022 (10 percent decrease). The number of serious injuries increased in CY 2023 with 4,154 serious injuries as compared to 3,676 in CY 2022 (13 percent increase). Serious injury rates increased to 7.578 serious injuries per 100 MVMT in CY 2023 as compared to 6.816 serious injuries per 100 MVMT in CY 2022 (11 percent increase). The number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries increased in CY 2023 with 677 as compared to 500 in CY 2022 (35 percent increase). FHWA has assessed that Colorado did not meet or make significant progress toward achieving its safety performance targets for calendar year 2022.

Colorado's HSIP program is administered by the Traffic Safety and Engineering (TSE) Services Branch at CDOT headquarters (HQ) under the Office of the Chief Engineer. The TSE staff coordinates with the CDOT Office of Transportation Safety (which is the State Highway Safety Office or SHSO) to ensure that safety programs align with each other's objectives. The TSE services branch actively engages with regional staff to coordinate efforts to research and analyze the need for safety improvements on segments and intersections statewide. The group provides subject matter expertise in safety and crash analyses to all roadway projects delivered by the Regions. The TSE staff also communicates and works directly with external entities and governing bodies such as FHWA, state and local law enforcement officials, other state agencies, metro planning organizations (MPO), municipalities, counties, as well as other interested parties.

Colorado programmed a total of $98,248,794 and obligated $85,770,728 of Federal HSIP funding (not including state or local match) towards safety improvement projects in state fiscal year (FY) 2024. During this reporting period, $9,879,342 of HSIP funding was programmed towards local (non-state highway) safety projects.

There is $6,184,776 of Vulnerable Roadway User (VRU) special rule funds assigned to the HSIP which must be obligated during federal FY 2024. There is also $13,695,777 of section 164 penalty funds assigned to the HSIP which must be obligated during federal FY 2024.

Impediments preventing greater local agency participation include the following insufficiencies: local agency knowledge of the opportunity, readily available data, technical support, cumbersome federal aid program laws and regulations, time and matching funds. CDOT recognizes these local agency challenges and has strategies planned to address them. Colorado continues to issue annual notices of funding opportunities for local agency projects to help improve local participation. 40 HSIP applications across 20 local agencies were received during the calendar year 2023-2024 call for projects. Of these 40, 19 applications were awarded HSIP funding in the amount of $18.6 million. These local agency projects are planned for state FY 2027 construction. In addition, the Safety Circuit Rider (SCR) program that was implemented in 2019 continues to support local agencies. The purpose of the SCR is to provide safety related education, training, outreach and support to local agency safety stakeholders under the direction of CDOT and in coordination with the Colorado Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP).

In addition to HSIP, CDOT utilizes other sources of funding for safety improvement projects and treatments. The Funding Advancement for Surface Transportation and Economic Recovery Act of 2009 (FASTER) established the Road Safety Fund to support the construction, reconstruction, or maintenance of roadway projects. The state Transportation Commission, a county, or a municipality, determines which projects are needed to enhance the safety of a state highway, county road, or city street. The funding dollars are allocated

based on a statutory formula: 60% to CDOT, 22% to counties, and 18% to municipalities. For CDOT, the FASTER Safety Mitigation (FSM) program provides approximately $70 million per year to improve safety along state owned highways.

Introduction

The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a core Federal-aid program with the purpose of achieving a significant reduction in fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. As per 23 U.S.C. 148(h) and 23 CFR 924.15, States are required to report annually on the progress being made to advance HSIP implementation and evaluation efforts. The format of this report is consistent with the HSIP Reporting Guidance dated December 29, 2016 and consists of five sections: program structure, progress in implementing highway safety improvement projects, progress in achieving safety outcomes and performance targets, effectiveness of the improvements and compliance assessment.

Program Structure

Program Administration

Describe the general structure of the HSIP in the State.

Colorado's HSIP program is administered by the Traffic and Safety Engineering (TSE) Services Branch at CDOT headquarters (HQ) under the Office of the Chief Engineer.

Regional CDOT traffic and safety engineering staff work internally and in consort with local agencies to identify projects with safety improvement needs. Initial review and analysis occur at this regional level. Upon acceptance by the region as a viable and potentially necessary safety project, the region makes a request to HQ for final review and analysis and associated HSIP funding eligibility criteria. The HQ TSE staff conducts an independent analysis of the project, including a detailed Benefit/Cost analysis, calculation of predicted crashes mitigated, a review of crash patterns, and a review of the crash modification factor used. Upon completion of final review and quantitative and qualitative analysis by HQ TSE staff of projects submitted by CDOT regional traffic safety and engineering, the projects are either approved or denied and budgeted accordingly against the projected regional allocation for the fiscal year in which the funding is needed. Through efforts to increase safety overall across the state, thorough dialogue between HQ and the requesting region occurs on a project-by-project basis when additional information, background, or data are needed if a project appears to fall short of eligibility. Additionally, because projects that are awarded HSIP funding are required to address individual areas of focus as defined within the SHSP (as part of the review and analysis process), our group confirms that such projects do in fact fall within the SHSP areas of focus.

Upon approval of HSIP funding, the CDOT regions are responsible for final project delivery along on-system locations. If a local agency is awarded HSIP funding for off-system safety improvements, the CDOT regional staff coordinate with such local agencies regarding HSIP funding to enable these local agencies to deliver these projects.

Where is HSIP staff located within the State DOT?

Engineering

Statewide administration of the HSIP resides in the TSE branch which is located at Colorado DOT headquarters in Denver under the Office of the Chief Engineer

How are HSIP funds allocated in a State?

- Formula via Districts/Regions

Planning allocations based on historical crash distribution within each of the five regions in Colorado.

Region 1 (Denver Metro and Surrounding): 52.9%
Region 2 (Southeast Colorado): 16.9%
Region 3 (Northwest Colorado): 9.3%
Region 4 (Northeast Colorado): 17.2%
Region 5 (Southwest Colorado): 3.7%

Describe how local and tribal roads are addressed as part of HSIP.

Under this program, all public roadways are eligible for participation, including roads on tribal lands; there are two tribes in Colorado: Ute Mountain and Southern Ute. Submittals for projects not located along the state highway system are solicited from local authorities with the support of the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and Transportation Planning Regions (TPRs). Applications for safety improvement projects are submitted by local agencies. As with the CDOT Region applications, all submittals will be required to meet the minimum criteria as established by the HSIP Procedural Manual. Project applications from local agencies are received by the regional traffic offices for review before being forwarded to the HQ TSE for evaluation and approval before award notices are issued to the local agencies. The regional traffic offices are requested to verify project cost estimates, and when necessary, are also requested to make project cost adjustments with the submitting local authorities' concurrence. Through increased outreach and education by CDOT (in concert with internal local agency efforts), it is hopeful that more applications will be received during future calls for local agency HSIP projects.

For planning purposes, approximately half of the HSIP funding is allocated toward off-system locations (including tribal lands) to proportionally align with the percentage of statewide crashes occurring off-system. If there are not enough off-system safety improvement projects to use the fully allocated amount, the state will apply those unused funds for state highway safety improvement projects. CDOT will look to offer more support in helping local agencies submit enough projects to account for their full allocation in the future with the help of the Safety Circuit Rider (SCR) program which was established in 2019.

The purpose of the SCR program is to provide safety related education, training, outreach, and support to local agency safety stakeholders under the direction of the Colorado Local Technical Assistance Program (CLTAP) and CDOT. The need for a SCR program is clearly manifested by the fact that most local agencies in the Colorado, particularly the ones in smaller communities, lack resources and technical expertise to identify, diagnose, treat safety deficiencies and/or implement adequate countermeasures properly and routinely**.** These resources and tools *are* typically afforded by CDOT and some of the larger cities and counties in the State. The SCR program is designed to greatly enhance technical capabilities at the local level and help bridge existing safety related expertise gaps, resulting in overall reduction of crashes on local roads. Local roads typically experience about 40% of the statewide annual fatalities. CDOT is also working to promote and develop more county and municipal Local Road Safety Plans (LRSP) with the assistance from the SCR program to serve our local agency partners better in improving roadways safety for the traveling public.

Identify which internal partners (e.g., State departments of transportation (DOTs) Bureaus, Divisions) are involved with HSIP planning.

- Design
- Districts/Regions
- Governors Highway Safety Office
- Local Aid Programs Office/Division
- Maintenance
- Operations

- Planning
- Traffic Engineering/Safety
- Other-Office of Financial Management & Budget
- Other-Division of Transportation Development (DTD)

Describe coordination with internal partners.

The CDOT HQ TSE branch actively engages with regional staff to coordinate efforts to research and analyze the need for safety improvements on segment and intersections statewide. The group provides subject matter expertise in safety and crash analyses to all roadway projects delivered by the Regions.

The TSE staff periodically produces a statewide composite listing of potential locations for crash reduction is compiled for all highway segments and intersections performing at a substandard level of service of safety (LOSS) as well as identifying crash patterns that are over-represented at those locations. This listing is provided to each of the five CDOT regions where their respective traffic units, roadway design staff and transportation planners can coordinate and select appropriate safety improvement projects with the goal of reducing roadway fatalities and serious injuries. The regions use the listing along with other information such as their own operational reviews, input from citizens, staff and city/county personnel as well as other ongoing or scheduled construction activities to determine the most feasible and beneficial candidate safety projects. The region may also choose to nominate other safety project locations besides those mentioned on the listing. Applications for new highway safety improvement projects are sent from the regions to the TSE branch for evaluation to determine safety program eligibility and level of funding.

The TSE branch coordinates efforts with the Office of Transportation Safety (OTS) to ensure that safety programs align with each other's objectives. The OTS handles most behavioral safety projects and contributes greatly to the SHSP implementation and update process, which was last updated in 2020. The TSE branch also coordinates with the Division of Transportation Development (DTD) and the Division of Maintenance & Operations (DMO) for information exchange and for better organization to achieve shared safety goals. The DTD provides roadway data for all CDOT projects, including roadway characteristics, traffic counts and asset management. The DMO attempts to coordinate replacement and maintenance work with safety standards and improvements to roadway safety. The TSE branch works with the Office of Financial Management & Budget (OFMB) to determine the amount of HSIP funding available for the current fiscal year as well as how much is anticipated to be available in future fiscal years for HSIP project planning and scheduling. The TSE branch also works with OFMB to obtain status updates on HSIP obligation and expenditure amounts for ongoing projects.

Identify which external partners are involved with HSIP planning.

- FHWA
- Governors Highway Safety Office
- Law Enforcement Agency
- Local Government Agency
- Local Technical Assistance Program
- Regional Planning Organizations (e.g. MPOs, RPOs, COGs)
- Tribal Agency

HSIP planning involvement from external partners is mostly limited to generating awareness of HSIP funding availability. However, each of these partners are active participants in SHSP related activities.

Describe coordination with external partners.

In maintaining consistency for data, analysis, understanding of safety needs statewide, and subsequent implementation of safety improvement projects, the CDOT HQ TSE staff communicates and works directly with external entities and governing bodies such as FHWA, state and local law enforcement officials, other state agencies, MPOs, municipalities, counties, and other interested parties. Additionally, at the regional level, the regions coordinate more directly with local government officials, citizens, the media and other stakeholders having traffic and safety concerns that are specific to their region. These individual areas of focus enable the regions to be more directly in touch with local safety needs for which HSIP funding may be eligible. This leads to CDOT's overall ability to integrate HSIP funded solutions utilized within any specific region into the statewide efforts to reduce crashes, crash severity, and progress toward the vision of zero deaths and serious injuries.

The Colorado SHSP is a great tool to unify safety efforts in the state, as it is a comprehensive plan for transportation safety. External partners are invited and encouraged to participate in the SHSP development and implementation.

The CDOT HQ TSE staff is involved with the Statewide Traffic Records Advisory Committee (STRAC). The STRAC consists of many state and local agencies, including law enforcement, involved in traffic records. The STRAC attempts to unify efforts across the state to provide accurate, complete and timely traffic records data, which is instrumental to program and project selection and coordination.

Describe other aspects of HSIP Administration on which the State would like to elaborate.

There is $13,695,777 of section 164 penalty funds assigned to the HSIP which must be obligated during federal fiscal year 2024.

There is $6,184,776 of VRU special rule funds assigned to the HSIP which must be obligated during federal fiscal year 2024.

In addition to HSIP, CDOT utilizes other sources of funding for safety improvement projects and treatments. The Funding Advancement for Surface Transportation and Economic Recovery Act of 2009 (FASTER) established the Road Safety Fund to support the construction, reconstruction, or maintenance roadway projects. The state Transportation Commission, a county, or a municipality, determines which projects are needed to enhance the safety of a state highway, county road, or city street. The funding dollars are allocated based on a statutory formula: 60% to CDOT, 22% to counties, and 18% to municipalities. For CDOT, the FASTER Safety Mitigation (FSM) program provides approximately $70 million per year to improve safety along state owned highways.

Program Methodology

Does the State have an HSIP manual or similar that clearly describes HSIP planning, implementation and evaluation processes?

Yes

Although most of the fundamental concepts still apply, the current version of HSIP manual does not account for all the new practices recently added or adjusted for the program (i.e. systemic approach, calls for local agency projects, safe systems approach, VRU special rule, etc.). Since some of these more recent practices are continually evolving, a formal update of the manual has been delayed. CDOT is currently updating the manual.

Select the programs that are administered under the HSIP.

- HSIP (no subprograms)

Program: HSIP (no subprograms)

Date of Program Methodology:9/1/2016

What is the justification for this program?

- Addresses SHSP priority or emphasis area
- FHWA focused approach to safety

What is the funding approach for this program?

Other-Regional Distribution By Crash Totals

What data types were used in the program methodology?

Crashes

- All crashes

Exposure

- Traffic
- Volume

Roadway

- Functional classification

What project identification methodology was used for this program?

- Crash frequency
- Excess expected crash frequency using SPFs
- Excess expected crash frequency with the EB adjustment
- Excess proportions of specific crash types
- Expected crash frequency with EB adjustment
- Level of service of safety (LOSS)
- Probability of specific crash types

Are local roads (non-state owned and operated) included or addressed in this program?

Yes

Are local road projects identified using the same methodology as state roads?

Yes

How are projects under this program advanced for implementation?

- Competitive application process

Select the processes used to prioritize projects for implementation. For the methods selected, indicate the relative importance of each process in project prioritization. Enter either the weights or numerical rankings. If weights are entered, the sum must

equal 100. If ranks are entered, indicate ties by giving both processes the same rank and skip the next highest rank (as an example: 1, 2, 2, 4).

Rank of Priority Consideration

Ranking based on B/C:5
Available funding:1
Cost Effectiveness:4
Other-Level Service of Safety Rating:2
Other-Correctable Crash Pattern Identified:2

HSIP funding apportioned for site specific CDOT infrastructure safety projects are generally required to meet a minimal level of cost effectiveness (i.e. benefit/cost ratio of 1.0 using crash costs stated below) after meeting level of service of safety (LOSS) or overrepresented crash pattern identification (ID) criteria. Funding apportioned for site specific local agency infrastructure safety projects are generally required to meet LOSS or overrepresented crash pattern ID criteria; however, these projects are ranked by benefit cost ratio through an annual competitive process before being awarded HSIP funding. These are also expected to meet a minimal benefit/cost ratio of 1.0.

The cost effectiveness criteria does not necessarily apply to systemic safety projects except for the purpose of ranking of these projects in a competitive process. These are typically evaluated more systemically (i.e. identification or roadside features or higher risk factors). Funding set asides (up to 25% for each respective region) are provided for systemic projects so that they are not measured against other potential site specific HSIP projects.

CDOT 2024 Crash Costs:
Fatality (per person): $1,869,000
Serious Injury (per person): $1,066,000
Minor Injury (per person): $232,000
Possible Injury (per person): $126,000
Property Damage Only (per crash): $17,500

What percentage of HSIP funds address systemic improvements?

25

HSIP funds are used to address which of the following systemic improvements?

- Add/Upgrade/Modify/Remove Traffic Signal
- Cable Median Barriers
- High friction surface treatment
- Horizontal curve signs
- Install/Improve Lighting
- Install/Improve Pavement Marking and/or Delineation
- Install/Improve Signing
- Pavement/Shoulder Widening
- Rumble Strips
- Upgrade Guard Rails
- Wrong way driving treatments

Up to 25% of HSIP funds can be used to address systemic projects (actuals may be lower).

What process is used to identify potential countermeasures?

- Crash data analysis
- Data-driven safety analysis tools (HSM, CMF Clearinghouse, SafetyAnalyst, usRAP)
- Engineering Study
- Road Safety Assessment
- SHSP/Local road safety plan
- Stakeholder input
- Other-Independent Research & Peer State Communication

Does the State HSIP consider connected vehicles and ITS technologies?

Yes

Describe how the State HSIP considers connected vehicles and ITS technologies.

HSIP funding is a consideration for connected vehicle and ITS technology projects which incorporate components that are known to mitigate crashes or crash types. Many of these advanced technology applications can now be found on the CMF clearinghouse or through other viable research papers. Projects with Variable Speed Limit (VSL) technology have been funded with HSIP in recent years.

Does the State use the Highway Safety Manual to support HSIP efforts?

Yes

Please describe how the State uses the HSM to support HSIP efforts.

Quantitative analysis methodology as described within the 1st Edition (2010) of the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) is incorporated into the software, manual techniques, and systemic analysis processes that are employed by the CDOT HQ TSE staff who are charged with responsibly determining HSIP funding eligibility for safety related projects statewide. Subject matter from the HSM that is incorporated into CDOT's HSIP efforts includes but is not limited to the following: Fundamentals, Data Requirements, CMF/CRF Selection, Safety Performance Functions(s) (SPF's) Development, Diagnostics, Countermeasure Selection, Economic Appraisal (Benefit/Cost analysis), Predictive Methodology, Network Screening, etc.

Describe other aspects of the HSIP methodology on which the State would like to elaborate.

In addition to the HSM methodology that Colorado has incorporated into the HSIP efforts, CDOT and its consultants have developed, and continue to develop and refine Safety Performance Functions (SPF's) baseline normative crash expectancy details that are specific to Colorado roadways, highways, freeways, interchanges, and intersections. CDOT believes this method allows the agency to be better prepared to address the specific safety concerns on Colorado roadways with respect to Colorado ADT, specific driving conditions, and driving habits.

Project Implementation

Funds Programmed

Reporting period for HSIP funding.

State Fiscal Year

State Fiscal Year 2024 (July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2024)

Enter the programmed and obligated funding for each applicable funding category.

FUNDING CATEGORY	PROGRAMMED	OBLIGATED	% OBLIGATED/PROGRAMMED
HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	$71,036,356	$65,482,699	92.18%
HRRR Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(1))	$0	$0	0%
VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	$10,454,896	$3,630,487	34.73%
Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 154)	$0	$0	0%
Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	$16,757,542	$16,657,542	99.4%
RHCP (for HSIP purposes) (23 U.S.C. 130(e)(2))	$0	$0	0%
Other Federal-aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP)	$0	$0	0%
State and Local Funds	$0	$0	0%
Totals	$98,248,794	$85,770,728	87.3%

Obligation totals may include amounts programmed from previous fiscal years.

State and local matching funds are not included in this table as these funds are not tracked in the same way as the federal funds.

How much funding is programmed to local (non-state owned and operated) or tribal safety projects?

$9,879,342

How much funding is obligated to local or tribal safety projects?

$7,148,498

Obligation totals may include amounts programmed from previous fiscal years.

How much funding is programmed to non-infrastructure safety projects?

$1,495,199

How much funding is obligated to non-infrastructure safety projects?

$252,548

Obligation totals may include amounts programmed from previous fiscal years.

How much funding was transferred in to the HSIP from other core program areas during the reporting period under 23 U.S.C. 126?

$0

How much funding was transferred out of the HSIP to other core program areas during the reporting period under 23 U.S.C. 126?

$0

Discuss impediments to obligating HSIP funds and plans to overcome this challenge in the future.

CDOT's Office of Financial Management and Budget (OFMB) does not typically obligate HSIP funding until the project has invoices submitted while under construction. The purpose of this is limit the possibility of having inactive projects. However, this does impact Colorado HSIP obligation rates as this tends to result in delayed obligation of funds for HSIP projects. There are longer than expected start up times for safety improvement projects, especially those run by local agencies. Special attention is given to construction scheduling and priority for fund programming will be given to projects that can deliver on a timely basis.

In FY 2024, $9.9M of HSIP funding was programmed towards local (non-state highway) safety projects. Impediments preventing greater local agency participation include the following insufficiencies: local agency knowledge of the opportunity, readily available data, technical support, cumbersome federal aid program laws and regulations, time and matching funds. Colorado continues to issue annual notices of funding opportunities for local agency projects to help improve local participation. 40 HSIP applications across 20 local agencies were received during the calendar year 2023-2024 call for projects. Of these 40, 19 applications were awarded HSIP funding in the amount of $18.6 million. These local agency projects are planned for state FY 2027 construction.

Even with these ongoing challenges and impediments, CDOT has managed to fully obligate its HSIP funding over the last reporting period. This has accomplished through focused coordination with OFMB to capitalize on opportunities to support active HSIP eligible projects that are currently under construction and are able obligate available HSIP funds on a more timely basis.

Describe any other aspects of the State’s progress in implementing HSIP projects on which the State would like to elaborate.

CDOT's Office of Financial Management & Budget (OFMB) is working with the HSIP program managers to find ways to manage Section 164 penalty funds so that those funds can be obligated immediately. It is anticipated that Section 164 penalty funding will continue into future fiscal years in Colorado. OFMB continues to work with TSE to provide more transparency to the overall HSIP obligation status.

CDOT is exploring innovative local agency safety project delivery methods. This could help address some of the impediments as discussed in this report.

General Listing of Projects

List the projects obligated using HSIP funds for the reporting period.

PROJECT NAME	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	SUBCATEGORY	OUTPUTS	OUTPUT TYPE	HSIP PROJECT COST($)	TOTAL PROJECT COST($)	FUNDING CATEGORY	LAND USE/AREA TYPE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	AADT	SPEED OR SPEED RANGE	OWNERSHIP	METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION	SHSP EMPHASIS AREA	SHSP STRATEGY
22219 - CCD FY18 HSIP Pkg 4	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	1	Intersections	$389391	$389391	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other Freeways & Expressways	20,000	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
22247 - US 24 SAFETY IMPRVMNTS, E OF FLORISSANT.	Alignment	Horizontal curve realignment	0.25	Miles	$1355819	$2825658	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Rural	Minor Arterial	6,400	50	State Highway Agency	Spot	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
22281 - CCD FY18 HSIP PKG5 -	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	3	Intersections	$389578	$389578	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	30,000	30	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
22483 - KIOWA-BENNETT RD:US36 TO MISSISSIPPI AVE	Shoulder treatments	Widen shoulder – paved or other (includes add shoulder)	3.6	Miles	$450000	$3000000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Rural	Major Collector	2,383	35	State Highway Agency	Spot	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
22531 - MANASSA ELEMENTARY SRTS	Pedestrians and bicyclists	Pedestrians and bicyclists – other	1	Intersections	$595362	$780270	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Urban	Minor Arterial	2,400	20	State Highway Agency	Spot	Pedestrians	Proven Countermeasure
22904 - SH75:BOWLES & MINERAL INTERSECTION IMP	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	1	Intersections	$642601	$642602	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Rural	Minor Arterial	10,000	45	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
22951 - FEDERAL HSIP SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	5	Intersections	$838427	$869921	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	40,000	40	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23034 - SAGUACHE CO/CRESTONE SRTS	Pedestrians and bicyclists	Install sidewalk	1	Numbers	$373500	$415000	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Rural	N/A	0	0	Town or Township Highway Agency	Spot	Pedestrians	Proven Countermeasure
23535 - I-25 AT US50B RECONSTRUCTION	Intersection geometry	Intersection geometry - other	1.65	Miles	$1100000	$25636400	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Interstate	80,000	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
23878 - SH 52 & CR 59 Sign Installation	Advanced technology and ITS	Intersection Conflict Warning System (ICWS)	1	Intersections	$107920	$115500	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	2,500	35	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23879 - Lemay Ave & Drake Rd Inters. Imprv.	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add flashing yellow arrow	1	Intersections	$164160	$1000080	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Local Road or Street	20,600	40	City or Municipal Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23880 - 95th St & Lookout Rd. Upgrades	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add additional signal heads	1	Intersections	$433800	$482000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Rural	Local Road or Street	8,200	50	County Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23881 - Timberline Rd & Carpenter (SH392) Rndabt	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – Modern Roundabout	1	Intersections	$570000	$2778893	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Minor Arterial	12,000	50	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure

PROJECT NAME	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	SUBCATEGORY	OUTPUTS	OUTPUT TYPE	HSIP PROJECT COST($)	TOTAL PROJECT COST($)	FUNDING CATEGORY	LAND USE/AREA TYPE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	AADT	SPEED OR SPEED RANGE	OWNERSHIP	METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION	SHSP EMPHASIS AREA	SHSP STRATEGY
23882 - Hill St & 1st St Inter. Imprv.	Pedestrians and bicyclists	Medians and pedestrian refuge areas	1	Intersections	$62551	$69501	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	2,700	35	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23896 - Timberline Rd/Lincoln Ave/Mulberry	Intersection geometry	Modify lane assignment	2	Intersections	$93769	$1693239	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	38,000	50	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23897 - US34 & WCR17 Traff. Sig. Upgrades	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add backplates with retroreflective borders	1	Intersections	$82272	$125800	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other Freeways & Expressways	42,700	65	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
23899 - CR 38E & CR 73C	Roadside	Barrier- metal	10.24	Miles	$232470	$267000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Local Road or Street	8,000	40	County Highway Agency	Systemic	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
23900 - US 34 & Glade Rd	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – new traffic signal	1	Intersections	$85455	$94950	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	12,000	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24015 - I-70 Dowd Canyon Variable Signals	Roadway signs and traffic control	Roadway signs and traffic control - other	4	Miles	$4027837	$7015773	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Interstate	39,000	65	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
24017 - US 36 Guardrail Near Lyons	Roadside	Barrier- metal	3.2	Miles	$2628746	$2755416	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	7,200	45	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
24186 - HSIP PROJECT - DARTMOUTH/LOGAN/DOWNING-M	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – Compact/Mini-roundabout	3	Intersections	$202072	$1958000	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Urban	Local Road or Street	24,300	35	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24350 - E COUNTY LINE RD & ACRES GREEN DR Traffi	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	1	Intersections	$486500	$695000	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	Urban	Local Road or Street	28,000	45	City or Municipal Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24394 - SH30 @ HAMPDEN TURN LANE	Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	1	Intersections	$1887154	$1892265	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Minor Arterial	19,000	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Declaration Lane
24462 - ADAMS AVE. AND JACKSON ST. ROUNDABOUT	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – Modern Roundabout	1	Intersections	$900000	$1000000	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Local Road or Street	1,100	30	City or Municipal Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24464 - C470 AND QUINCY RAMP ROUNDABOUTS	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – Modern Roundabout	3	Intersections	$7300000	$9735630	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other Freeways & Expressways	7,500	65	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24513 - Backplate & Dilemma Zone Detections	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add backplates with retroreflective borders	96	Intersections	$70283	$757000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Local Road or Street	20,000	45	City or Municipal Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24516 - Guardrail & HFST - Larimer County	Roadside	Barrier- metal	0.69	Miles	$10800	$396000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Rural	Local Road or Street	4,100	35	County Highway Agency	Systemic	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
24567 - Vail Pass Aux Phase 1 CP 4	Roadway	Roadway widening - add lane(s) along segment	10	Miles	$3767500	$7767500	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Interstate	23,000	65	State Highway Agency	Spot	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure

PROJECT NAME	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	SUBCATEGORY	OUTPUTS	OUTPUT TYPE	HSIP PROJECT COST($)	TOTAL PROJECT COST($)	FUNDING CATEGORY	LAND USE/AREA TYPE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	AADT	SPEED OR SPEED RANGE	OWNERSHIP	METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION	SHSP EMPHASIS AREA	SHSP STRATEGY
24597 - LAKE AVE & SOUTHGATE R IMPROVEMENT	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal timing – left-turn phasing	1	Intersections	$748443	$2905408	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Local Road or Street	24,000	45	City or Municipal Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24687 - BROADWAY AT MINERAL & LITTELTON INT IMPR	Pedestrians and bicyclists	Pedestrian signal	2	Intersections	$1269905	$3100000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	34,150	25	City or Municipal Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24691 - Tempe St Roundabout Curve Re-alignment	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – Modern Roundabout	1	Intersections	$500000	$500000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Local Road or Street	5,200	30	County Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24696 - 120th at Northaven Circle Improvements	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – new traffic signal	1	Intersections	$80446	$89384	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Minor Arterial	18,000	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24697 - 104th @ WASHINGTON PLAZA IMP	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – new traffic signal	1	Intersections	$162000	$889000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	21,000	50	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
24879 - COMMERCE CITY: SIGNAL HEAD & BKPLT REPLC	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add backplates with retroreflective borders	25	Intersections	$164952	$183280	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	20,000	50	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25015 - GREENWOOD VLG SIGNALS HSIP FY23	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	1	Intersections	$63000	$180000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	33,900	40	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25070 - GARDEN OF THE GODS RD INTERSECTION IMP.	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – new traffic signal	4	Intersections	$234000	$1763940	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Local Road or Street	48,000	40	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25120 - I-70B 31.5 Road Safety Improvements	Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	1	Intersections	$636327	$854940	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	10,500	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25141 - SH 82 Twin Lakes	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – Modern Roundabout	1	Intersections	$360000	$3360000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Minor Arterial	4,500	50	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25441 - REGION 1 GUARDRAIL SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	Roadside	Barrier – cable	4.67	Miles	$395313	$3154912	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other Freeways & Expressways	37,000	65	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
25527 - THORNTON FY25 SIGNAL UPGRADES (22 LOCS)	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add flashing yellow arrow	22	Intersections	$209520	$1906290	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	30,000	50	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25543 - SH95 @ 64TH & 68TH SIGNAL REPLACEMENT	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal timing – left-turn phasing	1	Intersections	$342684	$900000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	3,600	45	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25647 - R1 MEDIAN CABLE BARRIER	Roadside	Barrier – cable	4.67	Miles	$365000	$7000000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other Freeways & Expressways	74,000	65	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Roadway Departure	Proven Countermeasure
25865 - I-76 & CO 144 Interchange Safety Impvts	Interchange design	Interchange improvements	1	Interchanges	$600000	$5967500	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Interstate	57,400	75	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
25868 - US 287 and Trilby Road Intersection CP1	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal timing – left-turn phasing	1	Intersections	$2249061	$7000000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	29,200	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure

PROJECT NAME	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	SUBCATEGORY	OUTPUTS	OUTPUT TYPE	HSIP PROJECT COST($)	TOTAL PROJECT COST($)	FUNDING CATEGORY	LAND USE/AREA TYPE	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	AADT	SPEED OR SPEED RANGE	OWNERSHIP	METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION	SHSP EMPHASIS AREA	SHSP STRATEGY
25981 - CO 45 & MIRROR SIGNAL PROJECT	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – new traffic signal	1	Intersections	$232120	$1132120	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	23,000	55	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
26024 - 2024 TRAFFIC SAFETY SUMMIT	Miscellaneous	Miscellaneous - other	1	Safety Summit	$129045	$129045	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	N/A	N/A	0	0	Non-Infrastructure	Non-infrastructure	Safety Summit	Safety Summit
26025 - 2025 STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN	Miscellaneous	SHSP Development	1	SHSP Implementation	$576000	$574000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	N/A	N/A	0	0	Non-Infrastructure	Non-infrastructure	SHSP Development	SHSP Development
26047 - FY24 STSP IMPLEMENTATION	Miscellaneous	SHSP Development	1	SHSP Implementation	$515230	$515231	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	N/A	N/A	0	0	Non-Infrastructure	Non-infrastructure	SHSP Development	SHSP Development
26129 - I70 Silt EB On Ramp Extension MP97.6	Roadway	Roadway - other	1	Miles	$250000	$1066000	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Interstate	24,000	75	State Highway Agency	Spot	Lane Departure	Proven Countermeasure
26196 - WADSWORTH & EVANS TRAFFIC SIGNAL	Intersection traffic control	Modify control – new traffic signal	1	Intersections	$169023	$950000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	48,600	45	State Highway Agency	Spot	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
26199 - CO 14 Road Safety Audit	Miscellaneous	Road safety audits	1	Road Safety Audit	$155276	$155276	Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	11,000	65	State Highway Agency	Spot	Safety Road Audit	Proven Countermeasure
26205 - R1 INTERSECTION CONFLICT WARNING SYS	Intersection traffic control	Intersection signing – add basic advance warning	4	Intersections	$1400000	$121000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	19,000	40	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure
26290 - Vulnerable Road User Research	Miscellaneous	Data analysis	1	Safety assessment	$398770	$398770	VRU Safety Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3))	N/A	N/A	0	0	Non-Infrastructure	Non-infrastructure	Data	Safety assessment
26318 - Wadsworth & 120th signals	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	4	Intersections	$1137500	$1275000	HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148)	Urban	Principal Arterial-Other	40,000	45	State Highway Agency	Systemic	Intersections	Proven Countermeasure

Safety Performance

General Highway Safety Trends

Present data showing the general highway safety trends in the State for the past five years.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Fatalities	547	608	648	632	597	622	691	764	720
Serious Injuries	3,197	3,093	3,049	3,410	3,200	2,896	3,668	3,676	4,154
Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	1.085	1.166	1.214	1.171	1.093	1.279	1.283	1.417	1.314
Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)	6.339	5.931	5.712	6.320	5.857	5.954	6.813	6.816	7.578
Number non-motorized fatalities	78	100	108	112	96	108	109	130	156
Number of non-motorized serious injuries	487	475	475	465	444	360	486	500	677


Annual Fatalities
900
800
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
Fatalities
5 Year Rolling Avg.


Annual Serious Injuries
4500
4000
3500
3000
2500
2000
1500
1000
500
0
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
Serious Injuries
5 Year Rolling Avg.


Fatality rate (per HMVMT)
1.6
1.4
1.2
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
Fatality rate (per HMVMT)
5 Year Rolling Avg.


Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)
5 Year Rolling Avg.



Describe fatality data source.

FARS

There should be little variation in fatality counts between the Colorado crash database and FARS.

To the maximum extent possible, present this data by functional classification and ownership.

Year 2023

Functional Classification	Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)
Rural Principal Arterial (RPA) - Interstate	43		0.86	
Rural Principal Arterial (RPA) - Other Freeways and Expressways	4.4		1.67	
Rural Principal Arterial (RPA) - Other	90.6		1.95	
Rural Minor Arterial	47		2.2	
Rural Minor Collector	19		2.32	

Functional Classification	Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)
Rural Major Collector	39		2.02	
Rural Local Road or Street	24.4		1.49	
Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) - Interstate	58.4		0.61	
Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) - Other Freeways and Expressways	25		0.47	
Urban Principal Arterial (UPA) - Other	184.8		2.07	
Urban Minor Arterial	79.8		1.27	
Urban Minor Collector	0.4			
Urban Major Collector	27.2		0.99	
Urban Local Road or Street	33.8		0.92	
Trafficway Not in State Inventory	2			

Year 2023

Roadways	Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)
State Highway Agency	399.4	1,844.2	1.22	5.63
County Highway Agency	92.2	406.2		
Town or Township Highway Agency	3.6			
City or Municipal Highway Agency	180	1,268.4		
State Park, Forest, or Reservation Agency				
Local Park, Forest or Reservation Agency				
Other State Agency				
Other Local Agency				
Private (Other than Railroad)				
Railroad				
State Toll Authority				
Local Toll Authority				
Other Public Instrumentality (e.g. Airport, School, University)				
Indian Tribe Nation				
Trafficway Not in State Inventory	2			
Not Reported				

Provide additional discussion related to general highway safety trends.

Fatalities decreased by six percent from 2022 to 2023. Travel volume increased marginally, leading to a seven percent decrease in fatality rate in 2023. Serious injuries and serious injury rates increased from 2022 to 2023. Non-motorist fatalities and serious injuries increased from 2022 to 2023.

Safety Performance Targets

Safety Performance Targets

Calendar Year 2025 Targets *

Number of Fatalities:740.0

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The CDOT Office of Transportation Safety (OTS, which is also the SHSO) and the CDOT Traffic Safety and Engineering Services (TSE) branch coordinate with the Colorado Department of Health and Environment (CDPHE) to evaluate historical crash data and develop various trend models. The OTS and TSE branch then evaluate the results, consider factors like the SHSP goals, and then agree what to set for the CY 2025 targets.

Number of Serious Injuries:3640.0

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The CDOT Office of Transportation Safety (OTS, which is also the SHSO) and the CDOT Traffic Safety and Engineering Services (TSE) branch coordinate with the Colorado Department of Health and Environment (CDPHE) to evaluate historical crash data and develop various trend models. The OTS and TSE branch then evaluate the results, consider factors like the SHSP goals, and then agree what to set for the CY 2025 targets.

Fatality Rate:1.363

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The CDOT Office of Transportation Safety (OTS, which is also the SHSO) and the CDOT Traffic Safety and Engineering Services (TSE) branch coordinate with the Colorado Department of Health and Environment (CDPHE) to evaluate historical crash data and develop various trend models. The OTS and TSE branch then evaluate the results, consider factors like the SHSP goals, and then agree what to set for the CY 2025 targets.

Serious Injury Rate:6.701

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The CDOT Office of Transportation Safety (OTS, which is also the SHSO) and the CDOT Traffic Safety and Engineering Services (TSE) branch coordinate with the Colorado Department of Health and Environment (CDPHE) to evaluate historical crash data and develop various trend models. The OTS and TSE branch then evaluate the results, consider factors like the SHSP goals, and then agree what to set for the CY 2025 targets.

Total Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries:659.0

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The CDOT Office of Transportation Safety (OTS, which is also the SHSO) and the CDOT Traffic Safety and Engineering Services (TSE) branch coordinate with the Colorado Department of Health and Environment (CDPHE) to evaluate historical crash data and develop various trend models. The OTS and TSE branch then evaluate the results, consider factors like the SHSP goals, and then agree what to set for the CY 2025 targets.

Describe efforts to coordinate with other stakeholders (e.g. MPOs, SHSO) to establish safety performance targets.

CDOT has memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the MPOs which details each agency's roles and responsibilities in this process. There are statewide meetings with the MPOs that set aside time to present data, review CDOT's process, and provide assistance in the establishment of individual MPO goals or adoption of the statewide goals. The MPOs continue to work toward establishing their targets or adopting CDOT's targets. CDOT will continue to coordinate with these organizations to support this effort. The HSIP safety performance targets data source is the same as the Highway Safety Plan.

Colorado MPOs CY 2024 Target Setting:

Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG) - Submits own MPO safety targets

Grand Valley MPO (GVRMPO) - Supports CDOT statewide safety targets

North Front Range MPO (NFRMPO) - Submits own MPO safety targets

Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments (PPACOG) - Supports CDOT statewide safety targets

Pueblo Area Council of Governments (PACOG) - Supports CDOT statewide safety targets

Does the State want to report additional optional targets?

No

Describe progress toward meeting the State's 2023 Safety Performance Targets (based on data available at the time of reporting). For each target, include a discussion of any reasons for differences in the actual outcomes and targets.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES	TARGETS	ACTUALS
Number of Fatalities	668.0	678.8
Number of Serious Injuries	3041.0	3518.8
Fatality Rate	1.262	1.277
Serious Injury Rate	5.794	6.604
Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries	548.0	613.2

Colorado will not show significant progress in safety for calendar year 2023 as none of the five measures are demonstrating significant progress. Continual increases in fatalities and serious injuries are being observed across all major categories over the five year time period (2019-2023). Increasing trends for vulnerable road users are of notable concern.

The following actions are being undertaken by the state that will hope to achieve targets set for future years.

2025 Update of Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)
Provision of Additional Crash Data Resources to All Safety Stakeholders

Updating HSIP Manual
Promoting Local Agency / Non-State Highway Participation
Showing Program Effectiveness with Before and After Studies
Integration of the Safe System Approach

Applicability of Special Rules

Does the HRRR special rule apply to the State for this reporting period?

No

Does the VRU Safety Special Rule apply to the State for this reporting period?

Yes

There is $6,184,776 of VRU special rule funds assigned to the HSIP which must be obligated during federal fiscal year 2024.

Provide the number of older driver and pedestrian fatalities and serious injuries 65 years of age and older for the past seven years.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Number of Older Driver and Pedestrian Fatalities	163	151	153	138	145	143	162
Number of Older Driver and Pedestrian Serious Injuries	542	587	622	481	649	633	745

Evaluation

Program Effectiveness

How does the State measure effectiveness of the HSIP?

- Benefit/Cost Ratio
- Change in fatalities and serious injuries
- Economic Effectiveness (cost per crash reduced)
- Lives saved

Based on the measures of effectiveness selected previously, describe the results of the State's program level evaluations.

Overall, the HSIP in Colorado has had a positive impact on reducing crashes at select locations. CDOT routinely evaluates the observed crash history at locations after an HSIP project has been implemented. Correction for the regression to the mean bias using Empirical Bayes method is applied in each study. The output of each evaluation is a calculated benefit/cost (B/C) ratio of the project which helps CDOT assess the effectiveness of the HSIP. Crash reduction factors for specific crash types are also calculated in these analyses.

The projects chosen by CDOT for analysis are located on state highways and non-state highways and cover a variety of safety improvements to both roadways and intersections. Roadway improvements included median barriers and improvements, guard rail, curve realignment and slope flattening, ITS improvements, wildlife protection, and ramp metering. Intersection improvements analyzed included new signals, signal upgrades (such as larger signal heads and replacing old span-wire signals), geometric improvements, and roundabouts.

It is essential to complete these studies to understand the impacts of different improvement types and why the initially predicted safety improvements are not always observed following construction. CDOT has institutionalized this process and routinely performs a before/after safety analysis evaluation of safety performance for projects constructed as crash data becomes available. Analyzing safety performance of projects before and after completion allows CDOT to make better and more informed decisions for future projects, thereby maximizing the positive impact of the limited safety improvement funding that is available.

What other indicators of success does the State use to demonstrate effectiveness and success of the Highway Safety Improvement Program?

- HSIP Obligations
- Increased awareness of safety and data-driven process
- Increased focus on local road safety
- More systemic programs
- Other-Realized Positive B/C Ratio

Effectiveness of Groupings or Similar Types of Improvements

Present and describe trends in SHSP emphasis area performance measures.

Year 2023

SHSP Emphasis Area	Targeted Crash Type	Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)
Lane Departure (Severe Crash Mitigation)	Head on	68.8	206.8	0.13	0.39
Roadway Departure (Severe Crash Mitigation)	Run-off-road	278	1,072.6	0.52	2.02
Intersections (Severe Crash Mitigation)	Intersections	215.4	1,607	0.41	3.01
Pedestrian (Vulnerable Roadway Users)	Vehicle/pedestrian	102.8	354.8	0.19	0.66
Bicyclists (Vulnerable Roadway Users)	Vehicle/bicycle	17	141.2	0.03	0.27
Motorcyclists (Vulnerable Roadway Users)	Other (define)	130.8	610.8	0.25	1.15
Work Zone (Vulnerable Roadway Users)	Other (define)	11.6	52.6	0.02	0.1


Number of Fatalities
5 Year Average
Fatalities
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
Lane Departure (Severe Crash Mitigation)
Roadway Departure (Severe Crash Mitigation)
Intersections (Severe Crash Mitigation)
Pedestrian (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
Bicyclists (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
Motorcyclists (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
Work Zone (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
2015-2019
2016-2020
2017-2021
2018-2022
2019-2023


Number of Serious Injuries
5 Year Average
Serious Injuries
1800
1600
1400
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
0
Lane Departure (Severe Crash Mitigation)
Roadway Departure (Severe Crash Mitigation)
Intersections (Severe Crash Mitigation)
Pedestrian (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
Bicyclists (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
Motorcyclists (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
Work Zone (Vulnerable Roadway Users)
2015-2019
2016-2020
2017-2021
2018-2022
2019-2023





Lane Departure captures non-intersection head-on and sideswipe opposite direction crashes that occur on roadway.

Motorcycle captures all crashes involving motorcycles.

Work Zone captures all crashes occurring within construction zone.

Project Effectiveness

Provide the following information for previously implemented projects that the State evaluated this reporting period.

LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CLASS	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	IMPROVEMENT TYPE	PDO BEFORE	PDO AFTER	FATALITY BEFORE	FATALITY AFTER	SERIOUS INJURY BEFORE	SERIOUS INJURY AFTER	ALL OTHER INJURY BEFORE	ALL OTHER INJURY AFTER	TOTAL BEFORE	TOTAL AFTER	EVALUATION RESULTS (BENEFIT/COST RATIO)
Alameda & Depew	Urban Minor Arterial	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	15.00	13.00			6.00		3.00	8.00	24.00	21.00	-5.29
Alameda & Harlan	Urban Minor Arterial	Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	31.00	36.00	1.00		4.00		4.00	51.00	40.00	51.00	18.07
US 6 & I-70B		Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – modernization/replacement	63.00	16.00			11.00		11.00	7.00	85.00	23.00	7.18
US 6 & SH 139		Intersection traffic control	Systemic improvements – signal-controlled	5.00	1.00					6.00	3.00	11.00	4.00	1.41
Signalized Intersections along SH 160/172/550		Intersection traffic control	Modify traffic signal – add flashing yellow arrow	37.00	40.00	1.00		17.00	7.00	8.00	33.00	63.00	78.00	-2.32
SH 83 & Walker Rd		Intersection traffic control	Systemic improvements – signal-controlled	2.00	5.00				5.00	5.00	17.00	7.00	27.00	-12.34
Boyd Lake Ave/ 5th St	Rural Local Road or Street	Roadside	Barrier – concrete	1.00	4.00					3.00	4.00	4.00	8.00	-1.02
LCR 27		Roadside	Barrier- metal	2.00	1.00			3.00				5.00	1.00	18.61
LCR 74E		Roadside	Barrier- metal	1.00	2.00	2.00		1.00				4.00	2.00	675.62
US 85 MP 235-250.5		Roadside	Barrier – cable	19.00	32.00	4.00		12.00	4.00	23.00	18.00	58.00	54.00	6
LCR38E MP 8-9 Culvert Repair		Miscellaneous	Miscellaneous - other	3.00	3.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	4.00		9.00	4.00	101.60
US 6 MP 272.5-274.1		Miscellaneous	Animal-related	76.00	62.00			3.00		3.00	3.00	82.00	65.00	1.44
I-25 MP 124.0-127.0		Miscellaneous	Animal-related	27.00	2.00			1.00		2.00		30.00	2.00	2.20
SH 82 MP 15.95-22.05		Miscellaneous	Animal-related	99.00	51.00					3.00	1.00	102.00	52.00	0.70
I-70 -87-110		Miscellaneous	Animal-related	273.00	55.00		1.00	6.00	1.00	21.00	5.00	300.00	62.00	0.59
C-470 MP19.5-24.5		Advanced technology and ITS	Dynamic message signs	436.00	539.00	3.00	1.00	75.00	14.00	109.00	212.00	623.00	766.00	-11.41

LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CLASS	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	IMPROVEMENT TYPE	PDO BEFORE	PDO AFTER	FATALITY BEFORE	FATALITY AFTER	SERIOUS INJURY BEFORE	SERIOUS INJURY AFTER	ALL OTHER INJURY BEFORE	ALL OTHER INJURY AFTER	TOTAL BEFORE	TOTAL AFTER	EVALUATION RESULTS (BENEFIT/COST RATIO)
I-70 MP215.0-217.75		Advanced technology and ITS	Dynamic message signs	102.00	84.00			11.00	6.00	18.00	25.00	131.00	115.00	0.87
I-70 MP124-125		Advanced technology and ITS	Dynamic message signs	99.00	68.00	1.00		5.00	3.00	16.00	21.00	121.00	92.00	10.54
SH 40 MP 136-142		Advanced technology and ITS	Dynamic message signs	86.00	71.00	2.00	1.00	2.00		24.00	10.00	114.00	82.00	19.47
SH 82 27.5-30.5		Advanced technology and ITS	Dynamic message signs	37.00	24.00			1.00	1.00	12.00	7.00	50.00	32.00	3.19
Railroad Ave & SH 13 BYPASS		Roadway	Superelevation / cross slope	19.00	13.00			12.00		3.00	4.00	34.00	17.00	2.78
SH 392 & WCR 35		Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	21.00	6.00	1.00		6.00	1.00	8.00	1.00	37.00	8.00	38.57
SH 115A MP 0.85-1.6 Elm Ave Int		Intersection geometry	Intersection realignment	31.00	18.00			7.00		6.00	10.00	44.00	28.00	2.29
Garrison St & Ralston Rd		Intersection geometry	Intersection realignment	28.00	30.00			3.00		7.00	5.00	38.00	35.00	5.48
SH 45 & WCR 96		Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	52.00	51.00			11.00		16.00	27.00	79.00	78.00	0.47
SH 172		Intersection geometry	Innovative Intersection (e.g. MUT, RCUT, QR)	3.00		2.00				1.00		5.00		3.21
S Carefree Roundabout		Intersection geometry	Innovative Intersection (e.g. MUT, RCUT, QR)	48.00	16.00			2.00		3.00	1.00	53.00	17.00	20.11
Weld Co Rd 34 at Weld Co Rd 17		Roadside	Roadside grading	3.00	5.00				1.00	3.00	5.00	6.00	11.00	N/A
SH 82 at JW Dr/ Valley Rd		Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	3.00	6.00			1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	7.00	9.00	-0.12
120th & Colorado Blvd		Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	57.00	60.00			8.00	1.00	11.00	18.00	76.00	79.00	0.19
US 50 MP 318-359		Roadway	Rumble strips – edge or shoulder	99.00	87.00	7.00	1.00	23.00	9.00	39.00	40.00	168.00	137.00	52.85
SH 66 & WCR 1		Intersection geometry	Add/modify auxiliary lanes	17.00	33.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	12.00	52.00	31.00	71.00	-3.29

LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CLASS	IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY	IMPROVEMENT TYPE	PDO BEFORE	PDO AFTER	FATALITY BEFORE	FATALITY AFTER	SERIOUS INJURY BEFORE	SERIOUS INJURY AFTER	ALL OTHER INJURY BEFORE	ALL OTHER INJURY AFTER	TOTAL BEFORE	TOTAL AFTER	EVALUATION RESULTS (BENEFIT/COST RATIO)
SH 30 MP 4.28-4.53		Pedestrians and bicyclists	Medians and pedestrian refuge areas	21.00	20.00	1.00		4.00	1.00	7.00	9.00			48.83
SH 30 MP 5.47-6		Pedestrians and bicyclists	Medians and pedestrian refuge areas	15.00	15.00			8.00	2.00	8.00	10.00			5.60
SH 30 MP 6.44-6.53		Pedestrians and bicyclists	Medians and pedestrian refuge areas	4.00	2.00			1.00		2.00	1.00			19.44
SH 30 MP 10.0-10.16		Pedestrians and bicyclists	Medians and pedestrian refuge areas	2.00										0.72
SH 83 MP 74.85-75.18		Pedestrians and bicyclists	Medians and pedestrian refuge areas	18.00	4.00			2.00	1.00	5.00	2.00			13.33
US 24 MP 213.54-226.5		Roadway	Rumble strips – center	18.00	20.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	4.00	18.00	10.00			111.08

Compliance Assessment

What date was the State's current SHSP approved by the Governor or designated State representative?

04/24/2020

What are the years being covered by the current SHSP?

From: 2020 To: 2025

When does the State anticipate completing its next SHSP update?

2025

https://www.codot.gov/safety/stsp/main

Current SHSP extended into 2024 while update is being completed.

Provide the current status (percent complete) of MIRE fundamental data elements collection efforts using the table below.

*Based on Functional Classification (MIRE 1.0 Element Number) [MIRE 2.0 Element Number]

ROAD TYPE	*MIRE NAME (MIRE NO.)	NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - SEGMENT		NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - INTERSECTION		NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - RAMPS		LOCAL PAVED ROADS		UNPAVED ROADS	
		STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE
ROADWAY SEGMENT	Segment Identifier (12) [12]	100	100					100	100	100	100
	Route Number (8) [8]	100	100								
	Route/Street Name (9) [9]	100	100								
	Federal Aid/Route Type (21) [21]	100	100								
	Rural/Urban Designation (20) [20]	100	100					100	100		
	Surface Type (23) [24]	100	100					100	100		
	Begin Point Segment Descriptor (10) [10]	100	100					100	100	100	100
	End Point Segment Descriptor (11) [11]	100	100					100	100	100	100
	Segment Length (13) [13]	100	100								
	Direction of Inventory (18) [18]	100	100								

ROAD TYPE	*MIRE NAME (MIRE NO.)	NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - SEGMENT		NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - INTERSECTION		NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - RAMPS		LOCAL PAVED ROADS		UNPAVED ROADS	
		STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE
	Functional Class (19) [19]	100	100					100	100	100	100
	Median Type (54) [55]	100	100								
	Access Control (22) [23]	100	100								
	One/Two Way Operations (91) [93]	100	100								
	Number of Through Lanes (31) [32]	100	100					100	100		
	Average Annual Daily Traffic (79) [81]	100	100					100	100		
	AADT Year (80) [82]	100	80								
	Type of Governmental Ownership (4) [4]	100	100					100	100	100	100
INTERSECTION	Unique Junction Identifier (120) [110]			100	100						
	Location Identifier for Road 1 Crossing Point (122) [112]			100	100						
	Location Identifier for Road 2 Crossing Point (123) [113]			100	100						
	Intersection/Junction Geometry (126) [116]			100	100						
	Intersection/Junction Traffic Control (131) [131]			100	100						
	AADT for Each Intersecting Road (79) [81]			100	80						
	AADT Year (80) [82]			100	80						
	Unique Approach Identifier (139) [129]										
INTERCHANGE/RAMP	Unique Interchange Identifier (178) [168]					100					

ROAD TYPE	*MIRE NAME (MIRE NO.)	NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - SEGMENT		NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - INTERSECTION		NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - RAMPS		LOCAL PAVED ROADS		UNPAVED ROADS	
		STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE	STATE	NON-STATE
	Location Identifier for Roadway at Beginning of Ramp Terminal (197) [187]					100					
	Location Identifier for Roadway at Ending Ramp Terminal (201) [191]					100					
	Ramp Length (187) [177]					100					
	Roadway Type at Beginning of Ramp Terminal (195) [185]					100					
	Roadway Type at End Ramp Terminal (199) [189]					100					
	Interchange Type (182) [172]					100					
	Ramp AADT (191) [181]					100					
	Year of Ramp AADT (192) [182]					100					
	Functional Class (19) [19]					100					
	Type of Governmental Ownership (4) [4]					100					
Totals (Average Percent Complete):		**100.00**	**98.89**	**87.50**	**82.50**	**100.00**	**0.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**

*Based on Functional Classification (MIRE 1.0 Element Number) [MIRE 2.0 Element Number]

Describe actions the State will take moving forward to meet the requirement to have complete access to the MIRE fundamental data elements on all public roads by September 30, 2026.

CDOT currently has approximately 20,000 State owned and non-state owned intersection/junctions (with approximately 6,950 that we need to collect MIRE data for), 437 interchanges, 9,180 non-local paved roadway segments, 76,766 paved local roadway segments and 39,372 unpaved local segments. Of the data elements required, CDOT has the vast majority of them available through on-going collection programs. Notable exceptions are:

AADT numbers for Rural Collector roadway segments; CDOT will use the new Statewide Travel Model to estimate the AADT on the Rural Collectors

Due to the complexity of implementing Intersection Manager and the potential system changes that will need to be made, CDOT intends to utilize in-house personnel and contractors to perform the work during the next fiscal year. Intersection Manager that will be implemented will assist us to manage the intersections as an object that will encompass all required elements, the majority of which will be extrapolated from existing data eliminating a manual process of populating data already within the system. CDOT intended to implement this tool last year but due to a state and federally mandated migration to ARCGIS Pro and the AWS platform, this task was delayed. CDOT's plan is to complete this task by end of the year, after the final stages of the migration to AWS are completed.

MIRE Fundamental Data Elements for Non-Local (Based on Functional Classification) Paved Roads

Roadway segment	CDOT
Segment Identifier (12)	Currently available for all public roads
Route Number (8)	Currently available for all public roads
Route/street Name (9)	Currently available for all public roads
Federal Aid/Route Type (21)	Currently available for all public roads
Rural/Urban Designation (20)	Currently available for all public roads
Surface Type (23)	Currently available for all public roads
Begin Point Segment Descriptor (10)	Currently available for all public roads
End Point Segment Descriptor (11)	Currently available for all public roads
Segment Length (13)	Currently available for all public roads
Direction of Inventory (18)	Currently available for all public roads
Functional Class (19)	Currently available for all public roads
Median Type (54)	Currently available for all On-System roadways and HPMS segments. Collection completed on the paved non-local Off-System roads.
Access Control (22)	Currently available for all public roads
One/Two-Way Operations (91)	Currently available for all public roads
Number of Through Lanes (31)	Currently available for all public roads
Average Annual Daily Traffic (79)	Currently available for all fed-aid roads. CDOT will use the new Statewide Travel Model to estimate the AADT on the Rural Collectors.
AADT Year (80)	Currently available for all fed-aid roads. CDOT will use the new Statewide Travel Model to estimate the AADT on the Rural Collectors.
Type of Governmental Ownership (4)	Currently available for all public roads
Intersection	CDOT
Unique Junction Identifier (120)	Currently available
Location Identifier for Road 1 Crossing Point (122)	Currently available
Location Identifier for Road 2 Crossing Point (123)	Currently available
Intersection/Junction Geometry (126)	Currently available for On-System. Collection completed on the paved non-local Off-System roads
Intersection/Junction Traffic Control (131)	Currently available for On-System. Collection completed on the paved non-local Off-System roads
AADT (79) [for Each Intersecting Road]	Currently available for all fed-aid roads. CDOT will use the new Statewide Travel Model to estimate the AADT on the Rural Collectors
AADT Year (80) [for Each Intersecting Road]	Currently available for all fed-aid roads. CDOT will use the new Statewide Travel Model to estimate the AADT on the Rural Collectors
Unique Approach Identifier (139)	Will need to be created for all paved non-local roads. The Intersection Manager will perform this automatically.
Interchange/Ramp	CDOT
Unique Interchange Identifier (178)	Currently available
Location Identifier for Roadway at Beginning Ramp Terminal (197)	Currently available
Location Identifier for Roadway at Ending Ramp Terminal (201)	Currently available
Ramp Length (187)	Currently available
Roadway Type at Beginning Ramp Terminal (195)	Element can be extracted from existing data
Roadway Type at Ending Ramp Terminal (199)	Element can be extracted from existing data
Interchange Type (182)	Currently available
Ramp AADT (191)	Currently available
Year of Ramp AADT (192)	Currently available
Functional Class (19)	Element can be extracted from existing data
Type of Governmental Ownership (4)	Element can be extracted from existing data

Optional Attachments

Program Structure:

HSIP_2016.pdf
Project Implementation:

Safety Performance:

Evaluation:

Compliance Assessment:

Glossary

5 year rolling average: means the average of five individuals, consecutive annual points of data (e.g. annual fatality rate).

Emphasis area: means a highway safety priority in a State's SHSP, identified through a data-driven, collaborative process.

Highway safety improvement project: means strategies, activities and projects on a public road that are consistent with a State strategic highway safety plan and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or feature or addresses a highway safety problem.

HMVMT: means hundred million vehicle miles traveled.

Non-infrastructure projects: are projects that do not result in construction. Examples of non-infrastructure projects include road safety audits, transportation safety planning activities, improvements in the collection and analysis of data, education and outreach, and enforcement activities.

Older driver special rule: applies if traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers and pedestrians over the age of 65 in a State increases during the most recent 2-year period for which data are available, as defined in the Older Driver and Pedestrian Special Rule Interim Guidance dated February 13, 2013.

Performance measure: means indicators that enable decision-makers and other stakeholders to monitor changes in system condition and performance against established visions, goals, and objectives.

Programmed funds: mean those funds that have been programmed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to be expended on highway safety improvement projects.

Roadway Functional Classification: means the process by which streets and highways are grouped into classes, or systems, according to the character of service they are intended to provide.

Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP): means a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary plan, based on safety data developed by a State Department of Transportation in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 148.

Systematic: refers to an approach where an agency deploys countermeasures at all locations across a system.

Systemic safety improvement: means an improvement that is widely implemented based on high risk roadway features that are correlated with specific severe crash types.

Transfer: means, in accordance with provisions of 23 U.S.C. 126, a State may transfer from an apportionment under section 104(b) not to exceed 50 percent of the amount apportioned for the fiscal year to any other apportionment of the State under that section.